

|   |                           |                     |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>    | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/743,543                | NEWBOLD, RONALD C.  |
|   | Examiner<br>DHIRU R PATEL | Art Unit<br>2831    |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Stimson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 24 being revised to add – using support bars– to clarify the method of coupling the housing to the first and second support members and put the case in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Dhiru R Patel

12/2/04

**DHIRU R. PATEL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)